DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

GAS IONIZATION SENSOR

The specification of which					
(check X is attache one) was filed Application Ser and was amende	on rial No		as		
	• • •	,			
I hereby state that I including the claims, as ame	have reviewed and undended by any amendment	erstand the contents of treferred to above.	f the above-ide	ntified spec	ification,
I acknowledge the of in accordance with Title 37,	duty to disclose informat Code of Federal Regula	tion which is material tions, §1.56(a).*	to the examina	ation of this	application
I hereby claim the bapplication(s) as listed below	penefit under Title 35, U	nited States Code, §1	19(e) of any Uı	nited States	provisional
Provisional Application No. Provisional Application No. Provisional Application No.	60/500,821	filed on filed on filed on	Septemb	15, 2003 per 4, 2003 per 27, 2002	
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:					
Prior Foreign Application(s)			P	riorityClain	ned
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Ye	ear Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the be listed below and, insofar as the prior United States application §112, I acknowledge the duty Regulations §1.56(a) which of international filing date of this 10/672,483 10/671,930	to disclose material info courred between the filir s application: September 26	of the claims of this d by the first paragrap ormation as defined in the prior ap	application is not not of Title 35, United 37, Code optication and the pending	ot disclosed Jnited States	in the Code
(Application Serial No.)	September 26		pending		
(Application Serial No.) (Filing Date) Status (patented, pending					indoned)

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith BRIAN N. TUFTE (Reg. No. 38,638), JOHN G. SHUDY, JR. (Reg. No. 31,214), JAMES RODGERS (Reg. No. 48,306), MARK SCHROEDER (Reg. No. 53,566), J. SCOT WICKEM (Reg. No. 41,376), GLENN SEAGER (Reg. No. 36,926), DAVID CROMPTON (Reg. No. 36,772), KRIS T. FREDRICK (Reg. No. 42,554), MATTHEW LUXTON (Reg. No. 41,960) and GREG ANSEMS (Reg. No. 42,264). Address all telephone calls to KRIS T. FREDRICK at telephone number (763) 954 -5388.

Declaration and Power of Attorney Ph0006074-0760(1100.1233101)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole	Ulrich-Bonne	
or First Inventor	Omerican	Date 31 Dec . 2003
Inventor's Signature		Date 3 102 . 2009
Residence	Hopkins, Hennepin County	
Citizenship	US	
Post Office Address	4936 Shady Oak Road	
	Hopkins, Minnesota 5,5343	

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no putent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Page 3 of 3